



(Regd.Post) Ravjot singh,
83-J, Sarabha Nagar
Ludhiana – 141001 (Punjab)

Encl: Affidavit 31.10.2022

V/s

....Appellant

- i) Public Information Officer,
O/o The Assistant Inspector General of Police, Pb.,
(Admn-2 Branch)
Bureau of Investigation,
Police Head Quarter,
Sector – 9, Chandigarh
- ii) Public Information Officer (Admin, Wing), ,
Assistant Inspector General of Police-cum-
cum- Public Information Officer, Pb.,
(Admin. Wing), Bureau of Investigation,
Police Head Quarter,
Sector - 9, Chandigarh
- iii) Public Information Officer,
O/o The Commissioner of Police, Pb.,
Ludhiana (Punjab)

First Appellate Authority,
O/o The Inspector General of Police, Pb.,
(Admn – 2 Branch)
Bureau of Investigation,
Police Head Quarter,
Sector – 9, Chandigarh

...Respondents

Appeal Case No. 2852 of 2022

Present : None on behalf of the appellant.
i) Sh. Purshotam Kumar, A.S.I. (94171-31510) O/o D.G.P. Punjab ;
ii) Sh. Tarsem Singh, A.S.I (M.94632-02689) O/o Commissioner of Police,
Ludhiana, for the respondent.

ORDER

Heard in the Commission.

2. The RTI request is dated 13.01.2022. First appeal is dated 19.02.2022. Second appeal has been received in the Commission on 16.06.2022.

3. The case was last heard on 21.09.2022 and directions were given to supply the remaining information at point no. 04 and 05 of the RTI request to the appellant.

Contd....2/-

Appeal Case No. 2852 of 2022

-2-

4. The appellant, Sh. Ravjot Singh is not present in today's hearing.
5. Sh. Purshotam Kumar, A.S.I. appeared from office of D.G.P., Punjab Chandigarh in today's hearing.
6. Sh. Tarsem Singh, A.S.I., who appeared from office of Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana in today's hearing, submits a reply in an affidavit vide letter no. 2852 dated 31.10.2022. It is taken on record.
7. After going over the contents of the affidavit submitted by the representative of the respondent PIO concerned and finding it satisfactory, no cause of action is left in this case, hence, the case is announced as **disposed of and closed.**
8. The affidavit (in original) submitted by the representative of the respondent PIO vide letter no. 2852 dated 31.10.2022 be sent to the appellant alongwith this order through registered post).

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Date : 9th November, 2022

(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab

Encl: Affidavit dated 31.10.2022

Naresh Kumar Gupta,
Chowk No. 01, Jaitu,
Distt. - Faridkot(Punjab)
(M.-98727-61372)

....Appellant

V/s

Public Information Officer,
O/o The Station House Officer,
Jaitu,
Distt. - Faridkot(Punjab)

First Appellate Authority,
O/o The Station House Officer,
Jaitu,
Distt. - Faridkot(Punjab)

...Respondents

Appeal Case No. 3105 of 2021

Present : Sh. Naresh Kumar Gupta , the appellant in person.
i) Sh. Ramesh Kumar, A. S. I. (M.-7986003500) ;
ii) Sh. Jasvir Singh, S. I. (M.-9779587391) ; on behalf of the respondent.

ORDER

Heard in the Commission

2. The RTI request is dated 21.06.2021. First appeal is dated 26.06.2021. Second appeal has been received in the Commission on 20.07.2021.

3. Sh. Ramesh Kumar, A. S. I. and Sh. Jasvir Singh, S. I., who appeared on behalf of the respondent PIO in today's hearing, state that a point-wise reply has already been given to the appellant vide letter no. 1634 dated 03.10.2022(received in the Commission vide Diary No. 23164 dated 11.10.2022). It is placed in the case-file.

4. The appellant, Sh. Naresh Kumar Gupta, who appeared in person in today's hearing, expresses his dissatisfaction over the reply given to him by the respondent PIO.

5. During the hearing, the queries raised by the appellant through RTI request and the reply given by the respondent was discussed and it emerges that most of the queries raised by the appellant are in nature of 'question' form, moreover, baseless. During this discussion, the appellant did not maintain the decency and decorum of the Commission.



Appeal Case No. 3105 of 2021

Moreover, at the time of hearing, it emerges that the appellant filed eight other RTI Applications, which are fixed for today, to the same Public Authorities and subject matter of the demanded information is similar in nature with slightly changes and disclosure of such information occupies huge time period in collecting and furnishing information to supply the same to the appellant.

After discussion it is found that the appellant Sh. Naresh Gupta has also been blacklisted in order passed by the Hon'ble Maninder Singh Patti dated 20.07.2022 in AC No. 3453, 3454, 3455, 3456, 3457, 3458, 3459, 3460, 3461, 3462, 3463, 3464, 2057 of 2021 on 20.07.2022 from the date of passing of the judgment dated 20.09.2022.

6. However, taking into consideration the language used by the appellant, it would be appropriate that the matter is referred to another bench for due consideration and taking an appropriate final decision in the matter.

7. In view of the foregoing, the case file is sent to Deputy Registrar for placing the same before the Hon'ble Chief Information Commissioner for appropriate orders. Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date : 9th November, 2022

The Deputy Registrar

Encl : Case-File

Sd/-
(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab

Naresh Kumar Gupta,
Chowk No. 01, Jaitu,
Distt. - Faridkot(Punjab)
(M.-98727-61372)

....Appellant

V/s

Public Information Officer,
O/o The Station House Officer,
Jaitu,
Distt. - Faridkot(Punjab)

First Appellate Authority,
O/o The Station House Officer,
Jaitu,
Distt. - Faridkot(Punjab)

...Respondents

Appeal Case No. 3106 of 2021

Present : Sh. Naresh Kumar Gupta , the appellant in person.
i) Sh. Ramesh Kumar, A. S. I. (M.-7986003500) ;
ii) Sh. Jasvir Singh, S. I. (M.-9779587391) ; on behalf of the respondent.

ORDER

Heard in the Commission

2. The RTI request is dated 21.06.2021. First appeal is dated 26.06.2021. Second appeal has been received in the Commission on 20.07.2021.

3. Sh. Ramesh Kumar, A. S. I. and Sh. Jasvir Singh, S. I. , who appeared on behalf of the respondent PIO in today's hearing, state that a point-wise reply has already been given to the appellant vide letter no. 1635 dated 03.10.2022(received in the Commission vide Diary No. 23165 dated 11.10.2022). It is placed in the case-file.

4. The appellant, Sh. Naresh Kumar Gupta, who appeared in person in today's hearing, expresses his dissatisfaction over the reply given to him by the respondent PIO.

5. During the hearing, the queries raised by the appellant through RTI request and the reply given by the respondent was discussed and it emerges that most of the queries raised by the appellant are in nature of 'question' form, moreover, baseless. During this discussion, the appellant did not maintain the decency and decorum of the Commission.



Appeal Case No. 3106 of 2021

Moreover, at the time of hearing, it emerges that the appellant filed eight other RTI Applications, which are fixed for today, to the same Public Authorities and subject matter of the demanded information is similar in nature with slightly changes and disclosure of such information occupies huge time period in collecting and furnishing information to supply the same to the appellant.

After discussion it is found that the appellant Sh. Naresh Gupta has also been blacklisted in order passed by the Hon'ble Maninder Singh Patti dated 20.07.2022 in AC No. 3453, 3454, 3455, 3456, 3457, 3458, 3459, 3460, 3461, 3462, 3463, 3464, 2057 of 2021 on 20.07.2022 from the date of passing of the judgment dated 20.09.2022.

6. However, taking into consideration the language used by the appellant, it would be appropriate that the matter is referred to another bench for due consideration and taking an appropriate final decision in the matter.

7. In view of the foregoing, the case file is sent to Deputy Registrar for placing the same before the Hon'ble Chief Information Commissioner for appropriate orders. Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date : 9th November, 2022

The Deputy Registrar

Encl : Case-File

Sd/-
(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab

Naresh Kumar Gupta,
Chowk No. 01, Jaitu,
Distt. - Faridkot(Punjab)
(M.-98727-61372)

....Appellant

V/s

Public Information Officer,
O/o The Deputy Supdt. Of Police,
Faridkot(Punjab)

First Appellate Authority,
O/o The Senior Supdt. Of Police,
Faridkot(Punjab)

...Respondents

Appeal Case No. 3107 of 2021

Present : Sh. Naresh Kumar Gupta , the appellant in person.
i) Sh. Ramesh Kumar, A. S. I. (M.-7986003500) ;
ii) Sh. Dharam Singh, A. S. I. (M.- 9888609393) ; on behalf of the respondent.

ORDER

Heard in the Commission

2. The RTI request is dated 21.06.2021. First appeal is dated 26.06.2021. Second appeal has been received in the Commission on 20.07.2021.

3. Sh. Ramesh Kumar, A. S. I. and Sh. Dharam Singh, A. S. I., who appeared on behalf of the respondent PIO in today's hearing, state that a point-wise reply has already been given to the appellant vide letter no. 88-91 dated 04.10.2022(received in the Commission vide Diary No. 23243 dated 11.10.2022). It is placed in the case-file.

4. The appellant, Sh. Naresh Kumar Gupta, who appeared in person in today's hearing, expresses his dissatisfaction over the reply given to him by the respondent PIO.

5. During the hearing, the queries raised by the appellant through RTI request and the reply given by the respondent was discussed and it emerges that most of the queries raised by the appellant are in nature of 'question' form, moreover, baseless. During this discussion, the appellant did not maintain the decency and decorum of the Commission.



Appeal Case No. 3107 of 2021

Moreover, at the time of hearing, it emerges that the appellant filed eight other RTI Applications, which are fixed for today, to the same Public Authorities and subject matter of the demanded information is similar in nature with slightly changes and disclosure of such information occupies huge time period in collecting and furnishing information to supply the same to the appellant.

After discussion it is found that the appellant Sh. Naresh Gupta has also been blacklisted in order passed by the Hon'ble Maninder Singh Patti dated 20.07.2022 in AC No. 3453, 3454, 3455, 3456, 3457, 3458, 3459, 3460, 3461, 3462, 3463, 3464, 2057 of 2021 on 20.07.2022 from the date of passing of the judgment dated 20.09.2022.

6. However, taking into consideration the language used by the appellant, it would be appropriate that the matter is referred to another bench for due consideration and taking an appropriate final decision in the matter.

7. In view of the foregoing, the case file is sent to Deputy Registrar for placing the same before the Hon'ble Chief Information Commissioner for appropriate orders. Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date : 9th November, 2022

The Deputy Registrar

Encl : Case-File

Sd/-
(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab

Naresh Kumar Gupta,
Chowk No. 01, Jaitu,
Distt. - Faridkot(Punjab)
(M.-98727-61372)

....Appellant

V/s

Public Information Officer,
O/o The Deputy Supdt. Of Police,
Faridkot(Punjab)

First Appellate Authority,
O/o The Senior Supdt. Of Police,
Faridkot(Punjab)

...Respondents

Appeal Case No. 3108 of 2021

Present : Sh. Naresh Kumar Gupta , the appellant in person.
i) Sh. Ramesh Kumar, A. S. I. (M.-7986003500) ;
ii) Sh. Dharam Singh, A. S. I. (M.- 9888609393) ; on behalf of the respondent.

ORDER

Heard in the Commission

2. The RTI request is dated 21.06.2021. First appeal is dated 26.06.2021. Second appeal has been received in the Commission on 20.07.2021.

3. Sh. Ramesh Kumar, A. S. I. and Sh. Dharam Singh, A. S. I., who appeared on behalf of the respondent PIO in today's hearing, state that a point-wise reply has already been given to the appellant vide letter no. 84-87 dated 04.10.2022(received in the Commission vide Diary No. 23242 dated 11.10.2022). It is placed in the case-file.

4. The appellant, Sh. Naresh Kumar Gupta, who appeared in person in today's hearing, expresses his dissatisfaction over the reply given to him by the respondent PIO.

5. During the hearing, the queries raised by the appellant through RTI request and the reply given by the respondent was discussed and it emerges that most of the queries raised by the appellant are in nature of 'question' form, moreover, baseless. During this discussion, the appellant did not maintain the decency and decorum of the Commission.



Appeal Case No. 3108 of 2021

Moreover, at the time of hearing, it emerges that the appellant filed eight other RTI Applications, which are fixed for today, to the same Public Authorities and subject matter of the demanded information is similar in nature with slightly changes and disclosure of such information occupies huge time period in collecting and furnishing information to supply the same to the appellant.

After discussion it is found that the appellant Sh. Naresh Gupta has also been blacklisted in order passed by the Hon'ble Maninder Singh Patti dated 20.07.2022 in AC No. 3453, 3454, 3455, 3456, 3457, 3458, 3459, 3460, 3461, 3462, 3463, 3464, 2057 of 2021 on 20.07.2022 from the date of passing of the judgment dated 20.09.2022.

6. However, taking into consideration the language used by the appellant, it would be appropriate that the matter is referred to another bench for due consideration and taking an appropriate final decision in the matter.

7. In view of the foregoing, the case file is sent to Deputy Registrar for placing the same before the Hon'ble Chief Information Commissioner for appropriate orders. Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date : 9th November, 2022

The Deputy Registrar

Encl : Case-File

Sd/-
(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab

Naresh Kumar Gupta,
Chowk No. 01, Jaitu,
Distt. - Faridkot(Punjab)
(M.-98727-61372)

....Appellant

V/s

Public Information Officer,
O/o The Deputy Supdt. Of Police,
Faridkot(Punjab)

First Appellate Authority,
O/o The Senior Supdt. Of Police,
Faridkot(Punjab)

...Respondents

Appeal Case No. 3109 of 2021

Present : Sh. Naresh Kumar Gupta , the appellant in person.
i) Sh. Ramesh Kumar, A. S. I. (M.-7986003500) ;
ii) Sh. Dharam Singh, A. S. I. (M.- 9888609393) ; on behalf of the
respondent.

ORDER

Heard in the Commission

The RTI request is dated 21.06.2021. First appeal is dated 26.06.2021. Second appeal has been received in the Commission on 20.07.2021.

3. Sh. Ramesh Kumar, A. S. I. and Sh. Dharam Singh, A. S. I., who appeared on behalf of the respondent PIO in today's hearing, state that a point-wise reply has already been given to the appellant vide letter no. 96-99 dated 04.10.2022(received in the Commission vide Diary No. 23241 dated 11.10.2022). It is placed in the case-file.

4. The appellant, Sh. Naresh Kumar Gupta, who appeared in person in today's hearing, expresses his dissatisfaction over the reply given to him by the respondent PIO.

5. During the hearing, the queries raised by the appellant through RTI request and the reply given by the respondent was discussed and it emerges that most of the queries raised by the appellant are in nature of 'question' form, moreover, baseless. During this discussion, the appellant did not maintain the decency and decorum of the Commission.



Appeal Case No. 3109 of 2021

Moreover, at the time of hearing, it emerges that the appellant filed eight other RTI Applications, which are fixed for today, to the same Public Authorities and subject matter of the demanded information is similar in nature with slightly changes and disclosure of such information occupies huge time period in collecting and furnishing information to supply the same to the appellant.

After discussion it is found that the appellant Sh. Naresh Gupta has also been blacklisted in order passed by the Hon'ble Maninder Singh Patti dated 20.07.2022 in AC No. 3453, 3454, 3455, 3456, 3457, 3458, 3459, 3460, 3461, 3462, 3463, 3464, 2057 of 2021 on 20.07.2022 from the date of passing of the judgment dated 20.09.2022.

6. However, taking into consideration the language used by the appellant, it would be appropriate that the matter is referred to another bench for due consideration and taking an appropriate final decision in the matter.

7. In view of the foregoing, the case file is sent to Deputy Registrar for placing the same before the Hon'ble Chief Information Commissioner for appropriate orders. Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date : 9th November, 2022

The Deputy Registrar

Encl : Case-File

Sd/-
(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab



Naresh Kumar Gupta,
Chowk No. 01, Jaitu,
Distt. - Faridkot(Punjab)
(M.-98727-61372)

....Appellant

V/s

Public Information Officer,
O/o The Station House Officer,
Jaitu,
Distt. - Faridkot(Punjab)

First Appellate Authority,
O/o The Station House Officer,
Jaitu,
Distt. - Faridkot(Punjab)

...Respondents

Appeal Case No. 3110 of 2021

Present : Sh. Naresh Kumar Gupta , the appellant in person.
i) Sh. Ramesh Kumar, A. S. I. (M.-7986003500) ;
ii) Sh. Jasvir Singh, S. I. (M.-9779587391) ; on behalf of the respondent..

ORDER

Heard in the Commission

2. The RTI request is dated 21.06.2021. First appeal is dated 26.06.2021. Second appeal has been received in the Commission on 20.07.2021.

3. Sh. Ramesh Kumar, A. S. I. and Sh. Jasvir Singh, S. I., who appeared on behalf of the respondent PIO in today's hearing, state that a point-wise reply has already been given to the appellant vide letter no. 1633 dated 04.10.2022(received in the Commission vide Diary No. 23163 dated 11.10.2022). It is placed in the case-file.

4. The appellant, Sh. Naresh Kumar Gupta, who appeared in person in today's hearing, expresses his dissatisfaction over the reply given to him by the respondent PIO.

5. During the hearing, the queries raised by the appellant through RTI request and the reply given by the respondent was discussed and it emerges that most of the queries raised by the appellant are in nature of 'question' form, moreover, baseless. During this discussion, the appellant did not maintain the decency and decorum of the Commission.



Appeal Case No. 3110 of 2021

Moreover, at the time of hearing, it emerges that the appellant filed eight other RTI Applications, which are fixed for today, to the same Public Authorities and subject matter of the demanded information is similar in nature with slightly changes and disclosure of such information occupies huge time period in collecting and furnishing information to supply the same to the appellant.

After discussion it is found that the appellant Sh. Naresh Gupta has also been blacklisted in order passed by the Hon'ble Maninder Singh Patti dated 20.07.2022 in AC No. 3453, 3454, 3455, 3456, 3457, 3458, 3459, 3460, 3461, 3462, 3463, 3464, 2057 of 2021 on 20.07.2022 from the date of passing of the judgment dated 20.09.2022.

6. However, taking into consideration the language used by the appellant, it would be appropriate that the matter is referred to another bench for due consideration and taking an appropriate final decision in the matter.

7. In view of the foregoing, the case file is sent to Deputy Registrar for placing the same before the Hon'ble Chief Information Commissioner for appropriate orders. Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date : 9th November, 2022

The Deputy Registrar

Encl : Case-File

Sd/-
(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab

Naresh Kumar Gupta,
Chowk No. 01, Jaitu,
Distt. - Faridkot(Punjab)
(M.-98727-61372)

....Appellant

V/s

Public Information Officer,
O/o The Deputy Supdt. Of Police,
Faridkot(Punjab)

First Appellate Authority,
O/o The Senior Supdt. Of Police,
Faridkot(Punjab)

...Respondents

Appeal Case No. 3111 of 2021

Present : Sh. Naresh Kumar Gupta , the appellant in person.
i) Sh. Ramesh Kumar, A. S. I. (M.-7986003500) ;
ii) Sh. Dharam Singh, A. S. I. (M.- 9888609393) ; on behalf of the respondent.

ORDER

Heard in the Commission

2. The RTI request is dated 21.06.2021. First appeal is dated 26.06.2021. Second appeal has been received in the Commission on 20.07.2021.

3. Sh. Ramesh Kumar, A. S. I. and Sh. Dharam Singh, A. S. I., who appeared on behalf of the respondent PIO in today's hearing, state that a point-wise reply has already been given to the appellant vide letter no. 100-103 dated 04.10.2022(received in the Commission vide Diary No. 23240 dated 11.10.2022). It is placed in the case-file.

4. The appellant, Sh. Naresh Kumar Gupta, who appeared in person in today's hearing, expresses his dissatisfaction over the reply given to him by the respondent PIO.

5. During the hearing, the queries raised by the appellant through RTI request and the reply given by the respondent was discussed and it emerges that most of the queries raised by the appellant are in nature of 'question' form, moreover, baseless. During this discussion, the appellant did not maintain the decency and decorum of the Commission.



Appeal Case No. 3111 of 2021

Moreover, at the time of hearing, it emerges that the appellant filed eight other RTI Applications, which are fixed for today, to the same Public Authorities and subject matter of the demanded information is similar in nature with slightly changes and disclosure of such information occupies huge time period in collecting and furnishing information to supply the same to the appellant.

After discussion it is found that the appellant Sh. Naresh Gupta has also been blacklisted in order passed by the Hon'ble Maninder Singh Patti dated 20.07.2022 in AC No. 3453, 3454, 3455, 3456, 3457, 3458, 3459, 3460, 3461, 3462, 3463, 3464, 2057 of 2021 on 20.07.2022 from the date of passing of the judgment dated 20.09.2022.

6. However, taking into consideration the language used by the appellant, it would be appropriate that the matter is referred to another bench for due consideration and taking an appropriate final decision in the matter.

7. In view of the foregoing, the case file is sent to Deputy Registrar for placing the same before the Hon'ble Chief Information Commissioner for appropriate orders. Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date : 9th November, 2022

The Deputy Registrar

Encl : Case-File

Sd/-
(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab

Naresh Kumar Gupta,
Chowk No. 01, Jaitu,
Distt. - Faridkot(Punjab)
(M.-98727-61372)

....Appellant

V/s

Public Information Officer,
O/o The Deputy Supdt. Of Police,
Faridkot(Punjab)

First Appellate Authority,
O/o The Senior Supdt. Of Police,
Faridkot(Punjab)

...Respondents

Appeal Case No. 3112 of 2021

Present : Sh. Naresh Kumar Gupta , the appellant in person.
i) Sh. Ramesh Kumar, A. S. I. (M.-7986003500) ;
ii) Sh. Dharam Singh, A. S. I. (M.- 9888609393) ; on behalf of the respondent.

ORDER

Heard in the Commission

2. The RTI request is dated 21.06.2021. First appeal is dated 26.06.2021. Second appeal has been received in the Commission on 20.07.2021.

3. Sh. Ramesh Kumar, A. S. I. and Sh. Dharam Singh, A. S. I., who appeared on behalf of the respondent PIO in today's hearing, state that a point-wise reply has already been given to the appellant vide letter no. 104-107 dated 04.10.2022(received in the Commission vide Diary No. 23239 dated 11.10.2022). It is placed in the case-file.

4. The appellant, Sh. Naresh Kumar Gupta, who appeared in person in today's hearing, expresses his dissatisfaction over the reply given to him by the respondent PIO.

5. During the hearing, the queries raised by the appellant through RTI request and the reply given by the respondent was discussed and it emerges that most of the queries raised by the appellant are in nature of 'question' form, moreover, baseless. During this discussion, the appellant did not maintain the decency and decorum of the Commission.



Appeal Case No. 3112 of 2021

Moreover, at the time of hearing, it emerges that the appellant filed eight other RTI Applications, which are fixed for today, to the same Public Authorities and subject matter of the demanded information is similar in nature with slightly changes and disclosure of such information occupies huge time period in collecting and furnishing information to supply the same to the appellant.

After discussion it is found that the appellant Sh. Naresh Gupta has also been blacklisted in order passed by the Hon'ble Maninder Singh Patti dated 20.07.2022 in AC No. 3453, 3454, 3455, 3456, 3457, 3458, 3459, 3460, 3461, 3462, 3463, 3464, 2057 of 2021 on 20.07.2022 from the date of passing of the judgment dated 20.09.2022.

6. However, taking into consideration the language used by the appellant, it would be appropriate that the matter is referred to another bench for due consideration and taking an appropriate final decision in the matter.

7. In view of the foregoing, the case file is sent to Deputy Registrar for placing the same before the Hon'ble Chief Information Commissioner for appropriate orders. Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date : 9th November, 2022

The Deputy Registrar

Encl : Case-File

Sd/-
(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab



Sharma Singh

S/o Sh. Sukha Singh,
Village - Kamirpura,
P. O. – Jagdev Khurd,
Tehsil – Ajnala, Distt. - Amritsar(Punjab)

....Appellant

V/s

Public Information Officer,

O/o The Director,
Vigilance Bureau, Punjab,
Sector 68, S. A. S. Nagar(Mohali)(Punjab)

First Appellate Authority,

O/o The Director,
Vigilance Bureau, Punjab,
Sector 68, S. A. S. Nagar(Mohali)(Punjab)

...Respondents

Appeal Case No. 4334 of 2022

Present : None on behalf of the appellant.

Ms. Amandeep Kaur, Inspector-cum-APIO (M.-80549-40526), on behalf of the
respondent.

ORDER

Heard in the Commission.


2. The RTI request is dated 26.04.2022. First appeal is dated 15.03.2022. Second appeal has been received in the Commission on 16.09.2022.

3. The appellant, Sh. Sharma Singh is not present in today's hearing.

4. Ms. Amandeep Kaur, Inspector-cum-APIO, who appeared on behalf of the respondent PIO in today's hearing and has filed the reply which is as under:-

Appeal Case No. 4334 of 2022

Vigilance Bureau, Punjab
Vigilance Bhawan, Sector-68, S.A.S.Nagar
Phone: 2217100-200 (Control Room & Fax)



ਵਿਜੀਲੈਂਸ ਬਿਊਰੋ, ਪੰਜਾਬ।
ਵਿਜੀਲੈਂਸ ਭਵਨ, ਸੈਕਟਰ-68, ਸ.ਅ.ਸ. ਨਗਰ
ਫੋਨ : 2217100-200 (ਕੰਟਰੋਲ ਰੂਮ ਅਤੇ ਫੈਕਸ)
(ਆਰ.ਟੀ.ਆਈ. ਐਕਟ-2005)
ਕੇਸ ਮਿਤੀ 09.11.2022
12:00 ਵਜੇ ਦਿਨ

ਸੇਵਾ ਵਿਖੇ,
ਸ਼੍ਰੀ ਅੰਮ੍ਰਿਤ ਪ੍ਰਤਾਪ ਸਿੰਘ,
ਪੰਜਾਬ ਰਾਜ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਕਮਿਸ਼ਨਰ,
ਰਿਜਨਲ ਟ੍ਰੇਨਿੰਗ ਸੈਂਟਰ, ਰੈਡ ਕਰਾਸ ਭਵਨ,
ਸੈਕਟਰ-16, ਚੰਡੀਗੜ੍ਹ।

ਨੰਬਰ 40345/ਵਬ/ਐਸ-14, ਮਿਤੀ : 07-11-22

ਵਿਸ਼ਾ: ਅਪੀਲ ਕੇਸ ਨੰਬਰ 4334/2022 ਵੱਲੋਂ ਸ਼੍ਰੀ ਸ਼ਰਮਾ ਸਿੰਘ ਪੁੱਤਰ ਸ਼੍ਰੀ ਸੁੱਖਾ ਸਿੰਘ ਵਾਸੀ
ਯਾਦ ਪੁੱਤਰ ਅੰਮ੍ਰਿਤਸਰ ਦੇ ਸਬੰਧ ਵਿੱਚ।

ਹਵਾਲਾ ਆਪ ਜੀ ਦੇ ਦਫਤਰ ਦੇ ਪੱਤਰ ਨੰਬਰ ਪੀਐਸਆਈਸੀ/ਲੀਗਲ/2022/23215 ਮਿਤੀ 06.10.2022, ਪੀਐਸਆਈਸੀ/ਲੀਗਲ/2022/23216 ਮਿਤੀ 06.10.2022 ਦੇ ਸਬੰਧ ਵਿੱਚ।

2) ਉਪਰੋਕਤ ਵਿਸ਼ਾ ਦੇ ਸਬੰਧ ਵਿੱਚ ਲਿਖਿਆ ਜਾਂਦਾ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਅਪੀਲਕਰਤਾ ਸ਼੍ਰੀ ਸ਼ਰਮਾ ਸਿੰਘ ਪੁੱਤਰ ਸ਼੍ਰੀ ਸੁੱਖਾ ਸਿੰਘ ਵਾਸੀ ਅੰਮ੍ਰਿਤਸਰ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਦਰਖਾਸਤ ਮਿਤੀ 15.03.2022 ਰਾਹੀਂ ਲੋਕ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਅਫਸਰ, ਵਿਜੀਲੈਂਸ ਬਿਊਰੋ ਸ.ਅ.ਸ ਨਗਰ ਤੋਂ ਦਰਖਾਸਤ ਮਿਤੀ 11.04.2019 ਸੰਬੰਧੀ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਦੀ ਮੰਗ ਕੀਤੀ ਗਈ ਸੀ। ਜਿਸ ਸਬੰਧੀ ਲੋਕ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਅਫਸਰ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਪੱਤਰ ਨੰਬਰ 10115/ਵਬ/ਐਸ-14 ਮਿਤੀ 18.04.2022 ਰਾਹੀਂ ਨੁਕਤਾ ਵਾਈਜ਼ ਸੂਚਿਤ ਕਰ ਦਿੱਤਾ ਗਿਆ ਸੀ। (ਅਨੈਕਚਰ ਡੀ-1) (07 ਵਰਕ)


3) ਇਸ ਉਪਰੰਤ ਅਪੀਲਕਰਤਾ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਪਹਿਲੀ ਅਪੀਲ ਮਿਤੀ 26.04.2022 ਇਸ ਦਫਤਰ ਵਿਖੇ ਪ੍ਰਾਪਤ ਮਿਤੀ 11.05.2022 ਰਾਹੀਂ ਮੁਕੰਮਲ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਭੇਜਣ ਲਈ ਲਿਖਿਆ ਗਿਆ ਸੀ। ਅਪੀਲਕਰਤਾ ਨੂੰ ਪਹਿਲੀ ਅਪੀਲ ਦੇ ਸਬੰਧ ਵਿੱਚ ਇਸ ਦਫਤਰ ਦੇ ਪੱਤਰ ਨੰਬਰ 18325/ਵਬ/ਐਸ-14 ਮਿਤੀ 07.06.2022 ਰਾਹੀਂ ਜਵਾਬ ਭੇਜਿਆ ਗਿਆ ਸੀ ਕਿ ਸ਼ਿਕਾਇਤ ਮਿਤੀ 11.04.2019 (ਸ਼ਿਕਾਇਤ ਨੰਬਰ 235/19 ਅੰਮ੍ਰਿਤਸਰ) ਦੀ ਵੈਰੀਫਿਕੇਸ਼ਨ ਰਿਪੋਰਟ ਸੀਨੀਅਰ ਕਪਤਾਨ ਪੁਲਿਸ, ਵਿਜੀਲੈਂਸ ਬਿਊਰੋ, ਅੰਮ੍ਰਿਤਸਰ ਪਾਸੋਂ ਇਸ ਦਫਤਰ ਵਿਖੇ ਪ੍ਰਾਪਤ ਹੋਣ ਉਪਰੰਤ ਅਜੇ ਅੰਤਿਮ ਫੈਸਲੇ ਲਈ ਵਿਚਾਰ ਅਧੀਨ ਹੈ। ਇਸ ਲਈ ਆਪ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਮੰਗੀ ਗਈ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਆਰ.ਟੀ.ਆਈ. ਐਕਟ-2005 ਦੀ ਧਾਰਾ 8(1)(g) ਅਤੇ (h) ਤਹਿਤ ਦੇਣ ਤੋਂ ਛੋਟ ਹੋਣ ਕਾਰਨ ਮੁਹੱਈਆ ਨਹੀਂ ਕਰਵਾਈ ਜਾ ਸਕਦੀ ਹੈ। ਉਕਤ ਪੱਤਰ ਨੰਬਰ 18325/ਵਬ/ਐਸ-14 ਮਿਤੀ 07.06.2022 ਇਸ ਦਫਤਰ ਵਿਖੇ "Insufficient Address" ਹੋਣ ਕਾਰਨ ਵਾਪਸ ਪ੍ਰਾਪਤ ਹੋਇਆ ਜਿਸ ਸਬੰਧੀ ਇਸ ਦਫਤਰ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਉਕਤ ਪੱਤਰ ਨੂੰ ਸੀਨੀਅਰ ਅਫਸਰਾਨ ਦੇ ਹੁਕਮਾਂ ਮੁਤਾਬਿਕ ਅਪੀਲਕਰਤਾ ਨੂੰ ਬਜਰੀਆ ਫੋਨ ਨੋਟ ਕਰਵਾਉਣ ਉਪਰੰਤ ਇਸ ਦਫਤਰ ਦੇ ਰਿਕਾਰਡ ਵਿੱਚ ਰੱਖ ਲਿਆ ਗਿਆ ਸੀ। (ਅਨੈਕਚਰ ਡੀ-2) (02 ਵਰਕ)

4) ਇਸ ਲਈ ਪੈਰਾ ਨੰਬਰ 2 ਅਤੇ 3 ਵਿੱਚ ਦਰਸਾਈ ਸਥਿਤੀ ਅਤੇ ਤੱਥਾਂ ਦੇ ਸਨਮੁੱਖ ਬੇਨਤੀ ਕੀਤੀ ਜਾਂਦੀ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਅਪੀਲਕਰਤਾ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਮੰਗੀ ਗਈ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਦੇ ਨੁਕਤਾ ਨੰਬਰ 01 ਸੰਬੰਧੀ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਮੁਹੱਈਆ ਕਰਵਾ ਦਿੱਤੀ ਗਈ ਸੀ ਅਤੇ ਬਾਕੀ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਸੰਬੰਧੀ ਦੱਸਿਆ ਗਿਆ ਸੀ ਕਿ ਸ਼ਿਕਾਇਤ ਮਿਤੀ 11.04.2019 (ਸ਼ਿਕਾਇਤ ਨੰਬਰ 235/19 ਅੰਮ੍ਰਿਤਸਰ) ਦੀ ਵੈਰੀਫਿਕੇਸ਼ਨ ਰਿਪੋਰਟ ਸੀਨੀਅਰ ਕਪਤਾਨ ਪੁਲਿਸ, ਵਿਜੀਲੈਂਸ ਬਿਊਰੋ, ਅੰਮ੍ਰਿਤਸਰ ਪਾਸੋਂ ਇਸ ਦਫਤਰ ਵਿਖੇ ਪ੍ਰਾਪਤ ਹੋਣ ਉਪਰੰਤ ਅਜੇ ਅੰਤਿਮ ਫੈਸਲੇ ਲਈ ਵਿਚਾਰ ਅਧੀਨ ਹੈ। ਇਸ ਲਈ ਆਪ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਮੰਗੀ ਗਈ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਆਰ.ਟੀ.ਆਈ. ਐਕਟ-2005 ਦੀ ਧਾਰਾ 8(1)(g) ਅਤੇ (h) ਤਹਿਤ ਦੇਣ ਤੋਂ ਛੋਟ ਹੋਣ ਕਾਰਨ ਮੁਹੱਈਆ ਨਹੀਂ ਕਰਵਾਈ ਜਾ ਸਕਦੀ ਹੈ।

ਇਸ ਤੋਂ ਇਲਾਵਾ ਉਪਰੋਕਤ ਸੰਬੰਧੀ ਦੱਸਿਆ ਜਾਂਦਾ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਅਪੀਲਕਰਤਾ ਸ਼੍ਰੀ ਸ਼ਰਮਾ ਸਿੰਘ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਉਕਤ ਕੇਸ ਵਿੱਚ ਮੰਗੀ ਗਈ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਸ਼ਿਕਾਇਤ ਮਿਤੀ 11.04.2019 (ਸ਼ਿਕਾਇਤ ਨੰਬਰ 235/19 ਅੰਮ੍ਰਿਤਸਰ) ਪਰ



Appeal Case No. 4334 of 2022



5. After going over the contents of the reply submitted by the representative of the respondent PIO concerned and finding it satisfactory, no cause of action is left in this case, hence, the case is announced as **disposed of and closed**. *Copy of the same be sent to the appellant alongwith these orders.*

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date : 9th November, 2022

Sd/-
(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab

Naresh Kumar Gupta,
Chowk No. 01, Jaitu,
Distt. - Faridkot(Punjab)
(M.-98727-61372)

....Appellant

V/s

Public Information Officer,
O/o The Deputy Supdt. Of Police,
Faridkot(Punjab)

First Appellate Authority,
O/o The Senior Supdt. Of Police,
Faridkot(Punjab)

...Respondents

Appeal Case No. 3113 of 2021

Present : Sh. Naresh Kumar Gupta , the appellant in person.
i) Sh. Ramesh Kumar, A. S. I. (M.-7986003500) ;
ii) Sh. Dharam Singh, A. S. I. (M.- 9888609393)
iii) Sh. Jasvir Singh, S. I. (M.-9779587391) ;, on behalf of the respondent.

ORDER

Heard in the Commission

2. The RTI request is dated 21.06.2021. First appeal is dated 26.06.2021. Second appeal has been received in the Commission on 20.07.2021.

3. Sh. Ramesh Kumar, A. S. I. ; ii) Sh. Dharam Singh, A. S. I. and iii) Sh. Jasvir Singh, S. I., who appeared on behalf of the respondent PIO in today's hearing, states that a point-wise reply has already been given to the appellant vide letter no. 108 dated 04.10.2022. It is placed in the case-file.

4. The appellant, Sh. Naresh Kumar Gupta, who appeared in person in today's hearing, expresses his dissatisfaction over the reply given to him by the respondent PIO.

5. During the hearing, the queries raised by the appellant through RTI request and the reply given by the respondent was discussed and it emerges that most of the queries raised by the appellant are in nature of 'question' form, moreover, baseless. During this discussion, the appellant did not maintain the decency and decorum of the Commission.



Appeal Case No. 3113 of 2021

Moreover, at the time of hearing, it emerges that the appellant filed eight other RTI Applications, which are fixed for today, to the same Public Authorities and subject matter of the demanded information is similar in nature with slightly changes and disclosure of such information occupies huge time period in collecting and furnishing information to supply the same to the appellant.

After discussion it is found that the appellant Sh. Naresh Gupta has also been blacklisted in order passed by the Hon'ble Maninder Singh Patti dated 20.07.2022 in AC No. 3453, 3454, 3455, 3456, 3457, 3458, 3459, 3460, 3461, 3462, 3463, 3464, 2057 of 2021 on 20.07.2022 from the date of passing of the judgment dated 20.09.2022.

6. However, taking into consideration the language used by the appellant, it would be appropriate that the matter is referred to another bench for due consideration and taking an appropriate final decision in the matter.

7. In view of the foregoing, the case file is sent to Deputy Registrar for placing the same before the Hon'ble Chief Information Commissioner for appropriate orders. Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date : 09th November, 2022

The Deputy Registrar

Encl : Case-File

Sd/-
(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab



Manik Goyal S/o Sh. Bhim Sain,
H. No. 21, Sharma Street,
Link Road, Mansa - 151505(Punjab)
(M.-8146000420)

....Appellant

V/s

Public Information Officer,
O/o The Director,
Deptt. of Information and
Public Relations Pb.,
Pb. Civil Secretariat, Chandigarh
First Appellate Authority,
O/o The Director,
Deptt. of Information and
Public Relations Pb.,
Pb. Civil Secretariat, Chandigarh

...Respondents

Appeal Case No. 4319 of 2022

Present : (i) None is present on behalf of the appellant
(ii) For the respondent: Smt. Sucheta, Senior Assistant (7837165889

ORDER

The RTI application is dated 24.06.2022 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 15.09.2022 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 09.11.2022 in the Commission.

3. Today the appellant is not present. It is observed that the appellant has time and again, sent repeated email(s) in the Commission office requesting to conduct his hearings through online modes. The cases of this bench are being heard through personal hearings only. Hence, considering the request of the Appellant and to avoid any inconvenience to him any further, the above said appeal case is sent to the Deputy Registrar, to be placed before the Hon'ble CIC for transfer to some other bench holding courts through online modes.

Sd/-

Dated : 09.11.2022

(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab

DR (with original case file)